## EPSS vs. CVSS 4.0:

## Measurement Factors (Without Shared Gaps)

Factor	EPSS	CVSS 4.0
Measures Exploit Likelihood	<b>▽</b> Yes	<b>X</b> No
Measures Severity & Impact	<b>X</b> No	<b>▽</b> Yes
Updates with Live Threat Intelligence	<b>▼ Yes</b> Daily Updates	No Updated only when manually Reassessed
Exploitability Consideration	Prioritizes vulnerabilities based on likelihood of exploitation	Assumes all vulnerabilities are equally exposed, unless Threat Metrics are adjusted
Threat Intelligence Integration	Includes real-world exploit attempts	Does not track active exploits, unless manually updated
Security Control Awareness	Does not account for compensating controls (EDR, WAF, segmentation)	Environmental Score adjusts for security controls
Risk of False Prioritization	<b>▼ High</b> (Might ignore high-impact vulnerabilities)	▼ High  (Might prioritize non-exploited vulnerabilities)  ▼ Note   ▼ Vulnerabilities ▼ Note   ▼ N
Limitations	<ul> <li>Does not measure severity</li> <li>No visibility into exploit chaining</li> <li>Lacks predictive modelling for future threats</li> </ul>	Does not predict real-world exploitability  Requires manual business risk adjustments  Threat Metrics may lag behind real-world threats
Final Verdict	Best for real-time, threat-driven prioritization	Best for regulatory compliance, severity classification